## **Bill Patterson (Research)**

From: Diane Streicher < DStreicher@jordanprice.com > on behalf of Henry W. Jones

<HJones@jordanprice.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 27, 2014 12:41 PM

**To:** Bill Patterson (Research)

**Cc:** kparker@carolinaseca.org; rhinson@hinsonelectricinc.com; jimbriglia@nc.rr.com;

'jeff@jeffsplumbingandrepair.com'

Attachments: Scanned Document: Scanned Document

Bill:

Thank you for sending along the emails Wednesday afternoon. The first email regarding mechanics liens on leasehold property is certainly worthy of some consideration. I have two "tweaks" to suggest, however.

First, I recommend that the language in proposed 44A-8.1 should also be reflected in the definition of the term, "Owner" in current G. S. §44A-7(6). Since the consequences of the relationship between the parties relates to and is dependent upon the definition of "Owner", this would be an improving change.

Second, it would strengthen the contractor's position in a very simple way if proposed G. S. §44A-8.1(b) could specifically apply to recorded leases only. This would limit the inference in the draft to leases that the contractor actually can access by means of the public record and would give landlords and tenants incentive to record leases for their own protection, which would be a good thing as well. Thus, the sentence could be worded as follows: "The relationship with landlord and tenant does not by itself cause a tenant who orders improvements to real property leased under a recorded lease by the tenant to be an agent of the landlord with respect to the improvements".

For the record, I have attached 2 further proposals from my contractors. The first draft is based upon Massachusetts lien law. It involves a simple change to the definition of the term "Owner" and "obligor" in G. S. §44A-7 to expand the agency concept slightly over the current law.

The second proposal sets up a process, similar to one we found in California, Colorado and Nevada, which allows the contractor to file a notice of potential lien claimant within 5 days of first furnishing. The owner of the property can serve a notice of non-responsibility within 10 days, which would cut off the contactor's lien rights in accordance with the current law.

We commend all of these concepts to you and the Committee. I would be glad to answer any questions before the meeting or during the meeting.

Sincerely,

Henry W. Jones, Jr.

Jordan Price Wall Gray Jones & Carlton P. O. Box 10669 Raleigh, NC 27605-0669 919-828-2501 hjones@jordanprice.com

CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE: To comply with requirements imposed by the United States Treasury Department, any information regarding any U.S. federal tax matters contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, as advice for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal

Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

The contents of this e-mail message may be privileged and/or confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any review, dissemination, copying, distribution or other use of the contents of this message or any attachment by you is strictly prohibited. If you receive this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by telephone (919-828-2501), and please delete this message and all attachments from your system. Thank you.